

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,537	07/28/2003	Viresh H. Rawal	7814/86	6865
757	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			OWENS, AMELIA A	
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,537	RAWAL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amelia A. Owens	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the management patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply atod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/2	/13/2006.		
	his action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>70-135</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>70-95 and 119-13</u>		eration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>96-118</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2004</u> is/are:		ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	·	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		lication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date	
 2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/629,537 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 70-135 are pending. Drawings were filed.

Election

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, Claims 96-118 in the reply filed on January 13, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no burden on the examiner as the subject matter is sufficiently small and closely related as to be capable of being searched together. This is not found persuasive for the reasons of record.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 3. Claims 86-95, 119-135 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected subject matter, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on January 13, 2006.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/31/2003 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 96-104,111-115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobsen et al USP 6130340.

Art Unit: 1625

Jacobsen teach asymmetric cycloaddition reaction that reacts a diene with an aldehyde in the presence of a nonracemic chiral catalyst to produce a dihyropyran product. See column 2 lines 19-23. Further compare column 2 line 50 thru column 3 line 11 with specification page 19. Cycloadditon reaction between dienes and compounds containing a carbonyl group is by definition a [4+2] cyclyoaddition. See specification paragraph 0004. It is noted that the term 'substantially' is not synomous with 'complete' thus some metal is present.

Jacobsen at column 3 lines 28-37 define dienophile that includes aldehydes and ketones. The unsaturated carbonyl compound aldehyde is inherently alpha/beta. Since the claimed classes of compounds, i.e. aldehydes/ketones are the same used in the prior art, it necessarily flows from the prior art that the compounds are alpha/beta unsaturated.

Jacobsen uses a chiral catalyst that is a hydrogen bond donor. See column 18 line 39 thru column 32 line 45. The reaction takes place in a solvent. See column 34 lines 14-34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 96-118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobsen (USP'340) and Huang (cited by applicant – JACS p. 9662-9663).

Jacobsen teach asymmetric cycloaddition reaction that reacts a diene with an aldehyde in the presence of a nonracemic chiral catalyst to produce a dihyropyran product. See column 2 lines 19-23.

Huang teach TADDOL used in hetero Diels-Alder (H DA) reactions. H D A is a type of cycloaddition reaction. See specification paragraph 0005. Hydrogen bonding solvents such as

Application/Control Number: 10/629,537 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

TADDOL have aid ketones, that were heretofore inactive in such reactions, active in HD A reactions.

One of ordinary skill in the art would thus be motivated to replace the catalyst of Jacobsen with TADDOL as TADDOL has been shown to an effective to catalyse HD A reaction via H-bonding according to Huang. The use of a H-bonding solvent in a HD A reaction is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claims 96-118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claim use the terms 'first reactant; second reactant; chiral hydrogen-bond donor', which encompass as yet unidentified reactants. There is no indication given as to what they really are. One should be able, from reading of the claims, determine what that claim does or does not encompass. Therefore, one must know what compound is being claimed/reacted.

Thus, the written description is considered inadequate here in the specification. Conception of the intended 'first reactant; second reactant; chiral hydrogen-bond donor' should not be the role of the reader. Applicant should, in return for a 20 year monopoly, be disclosing to the public that which they know as an actual demonstrated fact. The disclosure should not be merely and invitation to experiment. If you (the public) find that it works, I claim it, is not a proper basis of patentability. In re Kirk, 153 USPQ 48 at page 53.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,537 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amelia A. Owens whose telephone number is 571-272-0690. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia J. Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amelia A. Owens
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625